# AIR MONITORING QUALITY ASSURANCE

### **VOLUME V**

AUDIT PROCEDURES FOR AIR QUALITY MONITORING

## APPENIX AF

SYSTEM AUDIT PROCEDURES FOR PAMS SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS PROGRAMS

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

# APPENDIX AF

# SYSTEM AUDIT PROCEDURES FOR PAMS SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS PROGRAM

			<u>PAGES</u>	REVISION	<u>DATE</u>
AF.1.0		DIT PROCEDURES FOR PLING AND ANALYSIS	1	0	3/16/01
	AF.1.0.1 AF.1.0.2	Introduction Preliminary Assessment and System Audit Planning			
AF.1.1	GUIDELINE: AUDITS	S FOR CONDUCTING SYSTEM	4	0	3/16/01
	AF.1.1.1 AF.1.1.2 AF.1.1.3	Pre-Audit Activities On-Site Audit Activities Post-Audit Activities			
AF.1.2	CRITERIA F	OR EVALUATION	1	0	3/16/01
	AF.1.2.1 AF.1.2.2	Introduction Questionnaire			
AF.2.0	PAMS SYST	EM AUDIT QUESTIONNAIRE	10	0	3/16/01
AF.3.0	FIELD OPER	ATIONS EVALUATION	2	0	3/16/01
	AF.3.0.1 AF.3.0.2	Introduction General Guidance for Site Documentation			
	AF.3.0.3 AF.3.0.4	Site Evaluation Reporting Questionnaire			

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont.)**

## APPENDIX AF

# SYSTEM AUDIT PROCEDURES FOR PAMS SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS PROGRAMS

		<u>PAGES</u>	<u>REVISION</u>	<u>DATE</u>
AF.4.0	LABORATORY OPERATIONS EVALUATION	1	0	3/16/01
	AF.4.0.1 Procedure AF.4.0.2 Questionnaire			
AF.5.0	REFERENCES	1	0	3/16/01

# AIR MONITORING QUALITY ASSURANCE

### **VOLUME V**

AUDIT PROCEDURES FOR AIR QUALITY MONITORING

## APPENIX AF.1

SYSTEM AUDIT PROCEDURES FOR PAMS SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS PROGRAMS

Volume V Section AF.1.0 Revision 0 August 16, 2002 Page 1 of 1

# AF.1.0 SYSTEM AUDIT PROCEDURES FOR PAMS SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS PROGRAMS

AF.1.0.1 <u>INTRODUCTION</u> - A system audit of a Photochemical Assessment Monitoring Station (PAMS) sampling program is an on-site review and inspection of field sites and laboratory operations to assess its compliance with established regulations governing the collection, analysis, validation, and reporting of PAMS sampling data. A system audit is normally conducted at the initiation of a new monitoring system and annually thereafter. A system audit includes an appraisal of the following program areas: network management, field and laboratory operations, data management and reporting, and quality assurance. On-site interviews should include a review of the data processing procedure from field acquisition through reporting into the information storage system (i.e., Laboratory Information Management System (LIMS), Aerometric Information Retrieval System (AIRS)).

The system audit is facilitated by the use of a questionnaire designed to provide information about specific portions of the overall program. This questionnaire can be used to provide a system audit of the whole program, or sections of it individually, to provide an audit on a portion of the program.

This procedure addresses the field and laboratory evaluations of a system and performance audit, including an evaluation of the field and laboratory standard operating procedures.

AF.1.0.2 <u>PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT AND SYSTEM AUDIT PLANNING</u> - In performing a system audit of a given district, the auditor is seeking a complete and accurate picture of that district's current PAMS sampling operations. The auditor should perform the on-site inspections and interviews with key personnel, evaluate some PAMS sampling sites operated by the district, and scrutinize the data processing procedures.

Volume V Section AF.1.1 Revision 0 August 16, 2002 Page 1 of 4

#### AF.1.1 GUIDELINES FOR CONDUCTING SYSTEM AUDITS

A system audit should consist of three separate phases:

- Pre-audit Activities
- On-site Audit Activities
- Post-audit Activities
- AF.1.1.1 <u>PRE-AUDIT ACTIVITIES</u> At the beginning of each year, a tentative schedule for on-site system audits of the field sites and laboratories should be established. As part of this scheduling, the auditor should indicate any special requirements such as access to specific areas or observation of specific activities.

Approximately six weeks prior to the on-site audit, the auditor should arrange a tentative schedule for meetings with key personnel, as well as for inspection of selected ambient air quality measurement and analytical operations. The auditor should also inform the district that they will receive a questionnaire which is to be completed and returned to the auditor within one month. Once the completed questionnaire has been returned, it will be reviewed, and the auditor will prepare a checklist detailing specific points for discussion with district personnel. The auditor should contact the district and coordinate the on-site audit.

AF.1.1.2 ON-SITE AUDIT ACTIVITIES - The auditor should meet initially with the district's contact person or his/her designee to discuss the scope, duration, and activities involved with the audit. This would include whether performance audits will be conducted and of which instruments and/or systems. This should be followed by a meeting with key personnel identified from the completed questionnaire or indicated by the district. Key personnel to be interviewed during the audit are those individuals with the responsibilities for: field and laboratory operations, data management and reporting, and quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC). The checklist of detailed specific points may be discussed during these meetings.

Enough time and effort should be devoted to the system audit so the auditor has a clear understanding and complete documentation in the following areas:

- 1. Organization
  - organization, training, and background of key personnel
  - general information on status of air monitoring program, QA plan, and field and laboratory Standard Operating Procedures (SOP)

#### 2. Field Operations

- conformance with regulations and QA/QC requirements

Volume V Section AF.1.1 Revision 0 August 16, 2002 Page 2 of 4

- type of analyzers and samplers and conformance to 40 CFR Parts 53/58 requirements
- field procedures, standards, documentation
- frequency of zero/span, calibration, precision
- corrective actions, repeat sampling runs
- standards certification, frequency, traceability
- spare parts, tools, records of repair
- training as required or necessary
- data acquisition and handling reliability

### 3. Laboratory Operations

- operational practices for manual methods
- analytical methods used
- use of SOP, QC use of blanks, duplicates, and calibrations
- corrective actions, repeat sample analysis
- documentation and traceability for standards
- record keeping, chain-of-custody, logbooks
- waste disposal, safety practices, adequacy of laboratory for needs
- data acquisition, data flow, back up, and validation

#### 4. Data Management

- data flow from field and laboratory to data processing
- overview of data entry, automatic or manual
- control check methods: if automatic, software and system
- system backup and recovery capabilities
- data screening, flagging, validation, correction (who may correct?)
- type of reports and responsibility for final validation

### 5. QA/QC Programs

- status and implementation of procedures
- outside audits
- internal audits such as document reviews or data processing
- implementation of corrective action
- frequency, levels, and results of precision checks by pollutant

### 6. Reporting

- precision and accuracy summaries
- internal reports to track performance and corrective actions
- summary of air data reports as required, completeness and validity

Volume V Section AF.1.1 Revision 0 August 16, 2002 Page 3 of 4

In order to facilitate gaining a complete understanding of the PAMS sampling and analysis program, the auditor should conduct a random spot check of the district's documentation and obtain sample copies of the following:

- logs (daily calibration checks, maintenance, etc.)
- calibration reports (field and laboratory)
- quarterly QC report
- monthly QC report
- organizational chart

Once the on-site system audit is complete, the auditor should meet again with key personnel and with the district's contact person or designee to present preliminary findings and possible recommendations. The auditor should state the audit results and include an indication of the potential data quality impact. This is also an opportunity for the district to provide feedback.

The potential data quality impact is based upon specific criteria, some of which are requirements, and others which are only recommendations to improve the quality of a program. Specific criteria which must be met are found in 40 CFR Parts 50, 53, and 58, and in the "Quality Assurance Handbook for Air Pollution Measurement Systems", Volume II.

AF.1.1.3 <u>POST-AUDIT ACTIVITIES</u> - The major post-audit activity is the preparation of the System and Performance Audit Report. The preparation of this audit report requires the auditor to compare the documented standard operating procedures with the observed accomplishments and deficiencies of the audit findings.

If the deficiencies are such that the regulations and/or requirements are not met, then Air Data Quality Action (AQDA) requests should be issued to the district. The AQDAs should note the pollutant, appropriate time period, and reason for the issuance, as well as the time allowed for the district to respond.

A preliminary draft System and Performance Audit Report is submitted to the audited district, together with a letter requesting comments and thanking the district personnel for their assistance, time, and cooperation.

If the district has written comments or questions concerning the audit report, they should be reviewed for incorporation into a final draft report within 30 days of receipt of the written comment. If no written comments are received within 30 calendar days from report date, the report will be formally distributed without further changes.

Volume V Section AF.1.1 Revision 0 August 16, 2002 Page 4 of 4

The System and Performance Audit Report should include the following:

- executive summary
- conclusion
- recommendations
- system audit objectives
- organization
- laboratory facility and operations
- field operations
- data management
- quality assurance and quality control
- performance audit
- data quality
- follow-up

The audit results should include information on the staff and equipment, network size and siting criteria, data management system, quality assurance and quality control functions, and on AQDAs issued, if any, including resolution of such AQDAs.

Volume V Section AF.1.2.0 Revision 0 August 16, 2002 Page 1 of 1

#### **AF.1.2 CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION**

AF.1.2.1 <u>INTRODUCTION</u> - A system audit is normally conducted in five steps. First, a questionnaire is sent to the district prior to the audit visit. The district should fill out the questionnaire as completely as possible and return it with sufficient documentation through the use of attachments. Second, the questionnaire is reviewed by the auditor to become familiar with the system operations and to determine any weaknesses and potential problem areas. Third, the on-site visit and interviews are scheduled. Fourth, a report with recommendations is prepared and discussed with the district. Fifth, the auditor follows up with a performance audit to determine if the recommendations were implemented.

For the field audit, the auditor should interview the site operator. For the laboratory audit, the auditor should interview the laboratory manager, any person who has direct analytical responsibility for PAMS sampling analysis, personnel associated with data validation, analysis, and reporting, and the person identified by the laboratory manager, who has responsibility for quality assurance. The information gathered from these interviews should be complete and up-to-date. The interviews should also present an adequate picture of the current and proposed levels of implementation of all quality assurance activities, including internal quality control. An evaluation of the data handling and processing, field and laboratory operations and procedures, QA/QC, and analytical process should be conducted at this time.

At the conclusion of the series of interviews and the evaluations, the auditor should inform the district contact person of the audit results and discuss any potential data-impacting problems uncovered. At this time, the auditor also explains the reporting procedures and schedule.

AF.1.2.2 <u>QUESTIONNAIRE</u> - An overall system audit questionnaire is intended for use when a complete system audit is being conducted. This questionnaire covers field as well as laboratory operations. The overall system audit questionnaire should be completed by the person responsible for the overall program and should be returned to the auditor.

The questionnaire, includes several areas including: the reporting organization homogeneity, general operation, staffing, network design, network operation, data and record keeping, and quality assurance. This questionnaire is intended to cover the management and organizational activities of the program.

# AIR MONITORING QUALITY ASSURANCE

### **VOLUME V**

AUDIT PROCEDURES FOR AIR QUALITY MONITORING

## APPENIX AF.2

SYSTEM AUDIT PROCEDURES FOR PAMS SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS PROGRAMS

Volume V Section AF.2.0 Revision 0 August 16, 2002 Page 1 of 10

# AF.2.0 PAMS SYSTEM AUDIT QUESTIONNAIRE

Agency			
Address			
Phone Number			
Organization Director			
PAMS Program Supervisor			
Data Management Supervisor _			
Quality Assurance Officer			
Questionnaire Completed			
On-site Visit Date	(By)	(Date)	
Audit Team Members			
Affiliation of Audit Team			

Volume V Section AF.2.0 Revision 0 August 16, 2002 Page 2 of 10

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	NIEW	WODIZ	MANIAGENERIT		<u>Page</u>	
A.	1. 2. 3. 4.	Staff Repo	orting Organization Homogeneity ork Design		2 2 3 4	
B.	FIEL 1.		RATIONS Operations		5	
C.	LAB		ORY OPERATIONS oratory Operations		7	
D.	DAT 1. 2.		RECORD KEEPING Handling orting		8 9	
E.	QUA 1.		ASSURANCE ity Assurance		10	
A.	NET	WORK	MANAGEMENT			
	1.	Staff	$\underline{\text{ing}}$			
		a.	Provide a current organizational chart indicating each responsible person's role in the current program.			
		b.	Please include a list of educational background, experience and training for each responsible person identified in the program organizational chart.	÷,		
		c.	Is there adequate supervision to support the program?	Yes[]	No[]	
		d.	Is there adequate staffing to support the program?	Yes[]	No[]	
	2.	Reporting Organization Homogeneity				
		a.	Are field operations conducted by a common team of field operators?	Yes[]	No[]	

Volume V Section AF.2.0 Revision 0 August 16, 2002 Page 3 of 10

b.	Are common calibration facilities used for all sites and laboratories?	Yes[] No[]
c.	Are precision and accuracy data reviewed by the District's quality assurance personnel for all sites and laboratories?	Yes[] No[]
d.	Are uniform procedures followed for data handling at all sites and laboratories?	Yes[] No[]
e.	Is a central data processing facility used for all reporting?	Yes[] No[]
f.	Is the traceability of all standards established by one or more central support laboratory/(ies)? Who operates this/these laboratory/(ies)?	Yes[] No[]
g.	List all analytical laboratories which analyze manual me for carbonyl and/or hydrocarbons.	thods
Netw	vork Design	
a.	Are all sites documented according to specified criteria?	Yes[] No[]
b.	Has the network been designed in accordance with the stated program objectives? Please include a brief description of any siting compromises.	Yes[] No[]
c.	Is there a written plan describing the overall network?  Title Date	Yes[] No[]

Volume V Section AF.2.0 Revision 0 August 16, 2002 Page 4 of 10

d.	Does the organization have records identifying the status and history of each site, which includes:								
	1) site identification?	Yes[]	No[]						
	2) site coordinates and elevation?	Yes[]	No[]						
	3) date monitoring initiated?	Yes[]	No[]						
	4) model, manufacturer, and serial number of								
	equipment at the site?	Yes[]							
	5) sampling schedule?	Yes[]	No[]						
	If yes, attach sampling schedule.								
e.	Is the equipment at all sites installed in accordance with								
	manufacturer's specifications and/or regulatory guidelines?	Yes[]	No[]						
f.	Does the network design consider:								
	1) access?	Yes[]							
	2) power availability?	Yes[]	No[]						
	3) potential localized interferences such as	**							
	closely located sources?	Yes[]	No[]						
g.	When was the PAMS sampling program initiated?								
h.	How many sites are operated?								
11.									
Canaral									
<u>General</u>									
a.	List the sites at which the following PAMS equipment are of	operated	1:						
	1) NMOC (3 hr. canister)								
	2) Carbonyl								
	3) NMHC (continuous analyzer)								

Volume V Section AF.2.0 Revision 0 August 16, 2002 Page 5 of 10

			4)	Ozone					
			5)	NOx					
			6)	NOy					
			7)	Meteor	rological (in	clude parameters	measured)		
			8)	Upper	Air Meteoro	ological			
		b.	Please	comple	te the follow	ving information:			
			<u>Site</u>		AIRS#	Operated <u>Since</u>	Collocated Sampler (Y/N	<u>)?</u>	If Yes Which
		c.		•		changes (addition c.) recorded in a l		Yes[]	No[]
		d.	develo	ped?	assurance pr	oject plan been		Yes[]	No[]
B.	FIELI	OPER	ATION	IS					
	1.	Field o	peratio	<u>ns</u>					
		a.	with th	-	ization's sta	rk operated in accordance of the condition of the conditi		Yes[]	No[]
		b.	Are the		ing procedu PA guidelin	res compatible wes?	vith:	Yes[]	No[]

Volume V Section AF.2.0 Revision 0 August 16, 2002 Page 6 of 10

,	nufacturer's recommendations?  B's Air Monitoring Quality Assurance SOP?	Yes[] Yes[]	
expendable	quate supply of spare parts and s maintained at the site or a regional office avoid unnecessary down time?	Yes[]	No[]
List below	the period of operation for each site.		
	logbook maintained at all sites?	Yes[]	No[ ]
	gbook include: ords of all site visits?	Yes[]	Nol 1
,	plems and repairs?	Yes[]	
	ntenance?	Yes[]	
4) data		Yes[]	
Is routine n By whom?	naintenance performed at all sites?	Yes[]	No[]
access to st	(Name and position) erson performing such maintenance have andard troubleshooting/maintenance or instrument manuals?	Yes[]	No[ ]
	ribe how samples are shipped or transported rtical laboratory, if applicable.		
Standard O	perating Procedures (SOP)		
	ve the Standard Operating Procedures for d Operations been developed?	Yes[]	No[]

Volume V Section AF.2.0 Revision 0 August 16, 2002 Page 7 of 10

Yes[] No[]

Yes[] No[]

Yes[] No[] Yes[] No[]

		Date		
		2) Have the Field SOPs been provided to the ARB's Quality Assurance Section?	Yes[]	No[]
		3) Does the program operate in compliance with: U.S. EPA protocol? California's Alternative Plan Protocol?	Yes[] Yes[]	
	g.	How often are sites visited by the primary operator?		
	h.	How often are the samples removed (if applicable)?		
LAB	ORATO	ORY OPERATIONS		
1.	<u>Labor</u>	ratory Operations		
	a.	Is equipment in the laboratory operated in accordance with the organization's standard operating procedures (where such exist)?	Yes[]	No[ ]
	b.	Are the operating procedures compatible with:  1) U.S. EPA guidelines?  2) manufacturer's recommendations?  3) ARB's Air Monitoring Quality Assurance SOP?	Yes[] Yes[] Yes[]	No[]
	c.	Is the equipment operated on a documented schedule? (Please attach a copy.)	Yes[]	No[]
	d.	Are an adequate supply of spare parts and expendables maintained in the laboratory?	Yes[]	No[]
	e.	Is a bound logbook maintained at the laboratory?	Yes[]	No[]

Does the logbook include:

maintenance?

data?

problems and repairs?

1.

2.

3.

4.

sample receipt and identification?

C.

Volume V Section AF.2.0 Revision 0 August 16, 2002 Page 8 of 10

	f.	Is rout By wh	ine maintenance performed on all instruments? om?	Yes[] No[]
		- J ···	(Name and position)	
	g.	Does to access proced	Yes[ ] No[ ]	
	h.	Standa	ard Operating Procedures (SOP)	
		1)	Have the following been developed: Standard Operating Procedures for Analytical Laboratory? Date	Yes[] No[]
			Laboratory Quality Control Manual?  Date	Yes[] No[]
		2)	Have the documents listed above been provided to the ARB's Quality Assurance Section?	Yes[] No[]
		3)	Does the program operate in compliance with: U.S. EPA protocol? ARB protocol?	Yes[] No[] Yes[] No[]
DATA	AND I	RECOR	RD KEEPING	
1.	Data F	Iandling		
	a.	data fo	indicate the data that is maintained and the ormat: field site data a) calibration data b) sample run data	
		2)	field lehorestory, data	
		2)	field laboratory data	
		3)	analytical laboratory data:	
			a) analytical results	
			b) calibration data	

D.

Volume V Section AF.2.0 Revision 0 August 16, 2002 Page 9 of 10

	c) separate QC data		
b.	Are field data checked for reasonableness?	Yes[]	No[]
c.	Are analytical laboratory data checked for reasonableness?	Yes[]	No[]
d.	Are a portion of the data from the field verified by the laboratory (such as collocated sampling)?	Yes[]	No[]
e.	Are replicate results tabulated and available for review?	Yes[]	No[]
f.	Are cross-checks used to validate or flag data?	Yes[]	No[]
g.	Is data capture checked for reasonableness and are periods of missing data and reason for the missing data recorded?	Yes[]	No[]
h.	Please describe what corrective actions are taken for out-of-control situations.		
		_	
		_	
i.	Please describe how data are corrected or deleted for out-of-control situations.		
Report	ing		
a.	In what format are results reported (e.g., hard copy, diskette electronically)?	e,	

Volume V Section AF.2.0 Revision 0 August 16, 2002 Page 10 of 10

	b.	To which agency are the results reported (e.g., U.S. EPA, ARB, etc.)?		
	c.	Are precision and accuracy data reported?	Yes[]	No[]
	d.	To which agency are the precision and accuracy data report	ed?	
	e.	To whom specifically are the results reported (Name of person, Division/Section, title)?		
	f.	How often are the results forwarded to the reporting organization?		
	g.	Where, how, and for how long are data stored?		
QUAL	ITY AS	SSURANCE		
1.	Quality	/ Assurance		
	a.	Is there a defined quality assurance function on-going within the network?	Yes[]	No[ ]
	b.	Is this function independent of all routine operations?	Yes[]	No[]
	c.	Does the individual responsible for this function regularly evaluate or audit the following operations:  1) site operations (performance audits)?  2) site data?  3) analytical laboratory operations?  4) analytical laboratory data?	Yes[] Yes[] Yes[]	No[] No[]

E.

# AIR MONITORING QUALITY ASSURANCE

### **VOLUME V**

AUDIT PROCEDURES FOR AIR QUALITY MONITORING

## APPENIX AF.3

SYSTEM AUDIT PROCEDURES FOR PAMS SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS PROGRAMS

Volume V Section AF.3.0 Revision 0 August 16, 2002 Page 1 of 2

#### AF.3.0 FIELD OPERATIONS EVALUATION

AF.3.0.1 <u>INTRODUCTION</u> - A field operations system audit follows the procedures outlined in Section AF.1.2, Criteria for Evaluation. The system audit consists of 3 steps: 1) sending a questionnaire to the district prior to the audit visit, 2) reviewing the completed questionnaire, and 3) conducting the on-site visit and interviews. It may be necessary to visit one or more of the air monitoring sites. Therefore, it is highly recommended that arrangements with the district be made in advance of the on-site visit.

During the on-site visit, the auditor should interview the site operator responsible for the PAMS sampler and or instruments, personnel associated with field data validation, analysis, and reporting, and the person identified who has responsibility for the quality assurance. The information gathered from these interviews should be accurate, and should present an adequate picture of the current and proposed levels of implementation of all quality assurance activities, including internal quality control. An evaluation of the data handling and processing, field operations and procedures, and QA/QC should be conducted at this time. This evaluation should consist of, at a minimum, a random verification of district records.

At the conclusion of the series of interviews and evaluations, the auditor should inform the district contact person of the audit results and discuss any potential data-impacting problems uncovered. At this time, the auditor also explains the reporting procedures and schedule.

AF.3.0.2 <u>GENERAL GUIDANCE FOR SITE DOCUMENTATION</u> - During the initial phase of network installation, each site should be documented using a site report form. This form should be completed by organization personnel to record station location, site classification, station instrumentation, topography, and important pollution sources (see ARB's Air Monitoring Quality Assurance Manual Volume II, Section 2.0.3). This documentation should be updated at least annually thereafter, to reflect the changes that occur at the sites (e.g., construction of a new building).

It is important that the information contained on such site documentation be verified as accurate. While it does not fall within the scope of the quality assurance function to prepare these site documents, the auditor should verify, for a small number of sites, that the information contained in such documents is accurate and complete. He/she should note any changes which may affect data quality and notify organization management of such problems. Of particular

Volume V Section AF.3.0 Revision 0 August 16, 2002 Page 2 of 2

importance in this regard are sites where collocated instrumentation has been placed; such data may be used to estimate measurement or data precision.

- AF.3.0.3 <u>SITE EVALUATION REPORTING</u> At the conclusion of a site evaluation or evaluation of a group of sites for a single organization, the auditor should prepare a brief written report (refer to Section AF.1.1.3). This report should indicate at least a discussion of observations made during the site visit as noted in the questionnaire and a copy of the site documentation used for the evaluation. Where major discrepancies are noted, additional information needs to be included. If further documentation has been provided by the auditor, a newly completed accurate site description document should be attached. Recommendations to improve siting should be included.
- AF.3.0.4 <u>QUESTIONNAIRE</u> A field operations questionnaire (if not part of the systems audit questionnaire) should be completed by every person involved in sample and data handling, operations of a field site, and field activities quality control. The completed questionnaire will provide information on site documentation and field site evaluation (see PAMS SYSTEM AUDIT QUESTIONNAIRE, Section B).

# AIR MONITORING QUALITY ASSURANCE

### **VOLUME V**

AUDIT PROCEDURES FOR AIR QUALITY MONITORING

## APPENIX AF.4

SYSTEM AUDIT PROCEDURES FOR PAMS SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS PROGRAMS

Volume V Section AF.4.0 Revision 0 August 16, 2002 Page 1 of 1

#### AF.4.0 LABORATORY OPERATIONS EVALUATION

AF.4.0.1 <u>PROCEDURE</u> - A laboratory system audit follows the procedures outlined in Section AF.1.2, Criteria for Evaluation. That is, the system audit is conducted in three steps: 1) a questionnaire is sent to the analytical laboratory prior to the audit visit, 2) the questionnaire is reviewed by the auditor, and 3) the on-site visit and interviews are scheduled.

During the on-site visit, the auditor should interview the laboratory manager, any person who has direct analytical responsibility for PAMS sampling analysis, personnel associated with data validation, analysis, reporting, and the person identified by the laboratory manager who has responsibility for quality assurance. The information gathered from these interviews, complete and up-to-date, should present an adequate picture of the current and proposed levels of implementation of all quality assurance activities, including internal quality control. An evaluation of the data handling and processing, laboratory operations and procedures, QA/QC, and analytical process should be conducted at this time.

At the conclusion of the series of interviews and evaluations, the auditor should inform the laboratory manager of the audit results and discuss any potential data impacting problems uncovered. At this time, the auditor also explains the reporting procedures and schedule.

AF.4.0.2 QUESTIONNAIRE - A laboratory questionnaire (if not part of the system audit questionnaire) provides information on analytical methods, standard laboratory operations, data entry, data bank validation, laboratory quality control, and laboratory management. The laboratory system audit questionnaire should be completed by every person involved in the data entry and review process, and by every person responsible for the operation of an analytical instrument (see PAMS SYSTEM AUDIT QUESTIONNAIRE, Section C).

# AIR MONITORING QUALITY ASSURANCE

### **VOLUME V**

AUDIT PROCEDURES FOR AIR QUALITY MONITORING

## APPENIX AF.5

SYSTEM AUDIT PROCEDURES FOR PAMS SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS PROGRAMS

Volume V Section AF.5.0 Revision 0 August 16, 2002 Page 1 of 1

# AF.5.0 **REFERENCES**

- 1. 40 CFR 50, July 1996.
- 2. 40 CFR 53, July 1996.
- 3. 40 CFR 58, July 1996.
- 4. U.S. EPA "Quality Assurance Handbook for Air Pollution Measurement Systems, Volume II."
- 5. ARB "Air Monitoring Quality Assurance, Volume V".